

## HIV A1 OR B DO NOT DIFFERENTIALLY IMPACT CABOTEGRAVIR IN VITRO POTENCY OR DURABILITY

Jerry L. Jeffrey,<sup>1</sup> Marty St. Clair,<sup>1</sup> Ping Wang,<sup>1</sup> Chunfu Wang,<sup>2</sup> Zhufang Li,<sup>2</sup> Robert Fridell,<sup>2</sup> Mark Krystal,<sup>2</sup> Jan van Lunzen,<sup>3</sup> Sandy Griffith,<sup>1</sup> Ronald D'Amico,<sup>1</sup> David Margolis,<sup>1</sup> Kimberly Smith,<sup>1</sup> William Spreen<sup>1</sup> <sup>1</sup>ViiV Healthcare, Research Triangle Park, NC, USA; <sup>2</sup>ViiV Healthcare, Branford, CT, USA; <sup>3</sup>ViiV Healthcare, London, UK

## Background

- The Phase 3 FLAIR study evaluated monthly administration of longacting (LA) cabotegravir (CAB) and rilpivirine (RPV) as maintenance therapy in suppressed HIV-1-infected adults over 48 weeks and demonstrated non-inferiority to 3-drug daily oral ART. A total of 3/283 (1%) participants (PTS) who received CAB + RPV LA had confirmed virologic failure (CVF). All 3 CVF PTS were among the 8 PTS in the study with subtype A1 virus and all 3 had the baseline integrase (IN) substitution L74I, as did 2/5 PTS who maintained viral suppression. All 8 PTS with subtype A1 virus in the study were sensitive to CAB at baseline. 174/283 (61%) PTS in the LA arm had subtype B, 7% with L74I without CVF
- Given the apparent clustering of CVF among A1 and presence of L74I, we sought to determine the impact of L74I and subtype A1 compared to subtype B IN on CAB sensitivity and durability

CVF case	Baseline IN genotype	IN genotype at CVF	CAB FC IC <sub>50</sub>
1	L74I	L74I, Q148R	5.2
2	L74I	L74I, G140R	6.7
3	L74I	L74I, Q148R	9.4

### Table 1. Summary of FLAIR CVFs Through Week 48<sup>1</sup>

FC, fold change to reference virus.

CAB FC for each case at baseline was 0.95, 0.67, and 0.69, respectively. Monogram Biosciences biological cutoff for CAB = 2.5.

## Methods

- FLAIR CVF genotypes and phenotypes were generated at Monogram Biosciences Inc (Table 1 only)
- Subtype A1 assignment is based on Monogram algorithm which does not include reference sequences for A6, a predominant subtype in Russia. Further in-house analysis suggests that the subtype for all 3 CVFs is A6
- A consensus A1 based integrase sequence was generated from the integrase sequences of the CVFs
- Site-directed integrase (SDM) mutants were created in a replication defective NL4-3 based proviral vector (subtype B) or a chimeric proviral vector containing the consensus A1 integrase (ConA1) to measure CAB  $IC_{50}s$
- CAB Breakthrough Experiment:
- Replication competent vectors were created in a NL4-3 proviral plasmid containing +/- L74I or the conA1 integrase chimeras +/- L74I
- Virus growth was measured in MT2 cells for each virus to ensure similar replication kinetics prior to the breakthrough experiment
- The ability of CAB to suppress viral replication in a bulk infected culture was assessed at multiple CAB concentrations (0, 1, 5, 410nM=1xPAEC90)

# Results







## Figure 1. Summary of CAB Breakthrough Experiments

 $\mathbf{m}$ CH Z

Ζ

## Table 2. Summary of CAB In Vitro IC<sub>50</sub>

Virus description & (subtype)		SDM	CAB FC IC <sub>50</sub>	Virus
NL4-3 Standard lab (B) strain		L74I	1.2	subtype
	Standard lab	L74I, G140R	0.6	NL4-3
	Strain	L74I, Q148R	4.4	В
ConA1 (A1)	Derived from	174L	0.8	ConA1
	consensus CVF	I74, G140R	0.9*	A1
	integrase	I74, Q148R	4.1	*Q148R emerge

SDM. site-directed mutant

FC, fold change to parent virus (n=3 independent experiments).

\*FC discrepancy relative to FLAIR CVF case 2 is being explored.



## Table 3. Summary of Resistance in Breakthrough Experiment

Conference on Retroviruses and Opportunistic Infections; March 8-11, 2020; Boston, MA

osition	No drug	1nM	5nM	1xPAEC90 (410nM)		
74	<pre># with resistance / # breakthroughs</pre>					
L74	0/6	0/6	0/3	0/0		
L74I	0/6	0/6	1/3*	0/0		
L74	0/6	0/6	0/1	0/0		
L74I	0/6	0/6	0/1	0/0		

ed in 1/3 breakthrough viruses.



## Conclusions

- to oral ART at Week 48<sup>1</sup>
- potency in vitro compared to subtype B
- subtype A1 or subtype B
- subtype A +/- L74I
- to generate CAB resistance in vitro
- being further explored
- long-acting and require further investigation

**Acknowledgments:** ViiV Healthcare thanks everyone who has contributed to the success of the study, including all study participants and their families, and the FLAIR clinical investigators and their staff.

Reference: 1. Orkin C, Arastéh K, Górgolas Hernández-Mora M, et al. Long-acting cabotegravir + rilpivirine for HIV maintenance: FLAIR Week 48 results. Presented at: Conference on Retroviruses and Opportunistic Infections; March 4-7, 2019: Seattle, WA, Slides O-13,

532

FLAIR demonstrated CAB + RPV long-acting was non-inferior

Integrase from subtype A1 did not differentially impact CAB

• Integrase +/- L74I did not impact CAB potency in vitro for either

• The integrase mutant L74I/Q148R conferred resistance to CAB and did not differentiate in subtype A1 compared to subtype B • The in vitro replication kinetics did not differ between subtype B,

 Subtype A1 integrase at baseline did not pre-dispose the virus • The emergence of Q148R in 1 of 3 subtype B L74I viruses is

• Other factors besides subtype A1 or the presence of L74I at baseline may contribute to virologic failure to CAB + RPV