Jennifer J. Kiser, PharmD, PhD 12850 E Montview Blvd, V20-4102 Aurora, CO 80045

Aurora, CO 80045 jennifer.kiser@cuanschutz.edu Plasma & Intracellular PK and Renal Safety of TAF 25mg with Boosted PIs and LDV/SOF

Kristina M. Brooks¹, Jose R. Castillo-Mancilla¹, Mary Morrow², Samantha MaWhinney², Joshua Blum³, David L. Wyles³, Jia-Hua Zheng¹, Bethany Johnson¹, Joe Gomez¹, Ye Ji Choi¹, Francesca Cendali¹, Hannah Haas¹, Lane R. Bushman¹, Peter L. Anderson¹, Jennifer J. Kiser¹



University of Colorado Anschutz Medical Campus

¹University of Colorado Anschutz Medical Campus, Aurora, CO, USA, ²Colorado School of Public Health, Aurora, CO, USA, ³Denver Health and Hospital Authority, Denver, CO, USA

Background

- Up to 16% of persons living with HIV (PLWH) are also co-infected with hepatitis C virus (HCV),¹ and there is a higher risk of liver-related morbidity and mortality in this population.^{2,3}
- Several direct-acting antiviral (DAA) therapies with short treatment durations are now available for HCV treatment, including ledipasvir/sofosbuvir (LDV/SOF, Harvoni®, Gilead Sciences, Inc.).⁴⁻⁷ However, HIV requires lifelong therapy, thus drug-drug interactions between antiretroviral (ARV) medications and DAAs are of concern.^{8,9}
- Tenofovir (TFV), in the form of either tenofovir disoproxil fumarate (TDF) or tenofovir alafenamide (TAF), is a key component of multiple recommended ARV regimens.
- TFV use is associated with renal proximal tubule injury, with higher tenofovir exposures corresponding to higher toxicity risk.¹⁰⁻¹²
- LDV/SOF, when co-administered with TDF, increases plasma TFV exposures by 40-98%,¹³ and tenofovir-diphosphate (TFV-DP) concentrations by ~3-fold in PBMCs and ~7-18-fold in RBCs (measured in dried blood spots (DBS)).^{14,15}
- There are currently no PK or renal safety data for TAF 25mg with boosted PIs and LDV/SOF.

Objectives

To compare the plasma/intracellular PK and renal safety of boosted PIs with TDF, TAF, and TAF with LDV/SOF in PLWH.

Methods

- Persons living with HIV on TDF with a boosted as standard HIV care were eligible for the study. Ritonavir (RTV, /r) or cobicistat (COBI, /c) were permitted. The study design is detailed in Figure 1.
- Adherence was monitored in real-time using wireless pillboxes (Wisepill Technologies®; Capetown, South Africa).



- PBMCs were isolated pre-dose and plasma at every time point. TAF, TFV, and TFV-DP were quantified using validated LC-MS/MS methods.
- Plasma TFV exposures over 24 hours with TDF were calculated using a twocompartment model. Noncompartmental methods were used with TFV from TAF.
- PK and renal biomarkers were log-transformed prior to analysis with mixed models. Results were back-transformed and phase comparisons were reported as GMR (95% CI). P<0.05 was considered statistically significant with no adjustment for multiple comparisons.

Table 1. Baseline Demographics

Characteristic
Sex, n(%)
Male
Female
Race/Ethnicity, n(%)
White
Hispanic/Latino
Black
Age (yr), mean (SD)
Weight (kg), mean (SI
eGFR (mL/min/1.73 m
Boosted PI, n(%)
ATV/r
DRV/c
DRV/r

Figure 3. Plasma TFV exposures (left) and TFV-DP concentrations in PBMCs (right)



Individual summary statistics reflect geometric mean (%CV) and phase comparisons reported as geometric mean ratio (GMR) (95% CI); PBMC phase comparisons reflect estimates after controlling for adherence 1 month prior and time since last dose.

Acknowledgements & References

(1R01DA040499-01)

Chemother. 2019 Aug 1;74(8):2360-2364.

Results









- The authors would like to thank the study participants; clinical staff at the University of Colorado Clinical Translational Research Center (CTRC), UCHealth, and Denver Health; and members of the Colorado Antiviral Pharmacology Laboratory. This study was supported by Gilead Sciences, Inc. and the National Institute on Drug Abuse
- (1) Platt L, Easterbrook P, Gower E et al. Lancet Infect Dis 2016; 16: 797-808. (2) Sulkowski MS. Liver international official journal of the International Association for the Study of the Liver 2012; 32 Suppl 1: 129-34. (3) Chen TY, Ding EL, Seage-lii Gr, et al. Clin Infect Dis 2009; 49(10): 1605-1615. (4) Naggie S, Cooper C, Saag M et al. N Engl J Med 2015; 373: 705-13. (5) Rockstroh JK, Nelson M, Katlama C et al. Lancet HIV 2015; 2: e319-27. (6) Sogni P, Gilbert C, Lacombe K et al. Clin Infect Dis 2016; 63: 763-70. (7) Wyles D, Brau N, Kottilil S et al. Clin Infect Dis 2017; 65: 6-12. (8) MacBrayne CE, Kiser JJ. Clin Infect Dis 2016; 63 Suppl 1: S12-23. (9) Honer Zu Siederdissen C, Maasoumy B, Marra F et al. Clin Infect Dis 2016; 62: 561-7. (10) Hall AM, Hendry BM, Nitsch D et al. Am J Kidney Dis 2011; 57: 773-80. (11) Tourret J, Deray G, Isnard-Bagnis C. J Am Soc Nephrol 2013; 24: 1519-27. (12) Monteiro N, Branco M, Peres S et al. J Int AIDS Soc 2014; 17: 19565. (13) Center for Drug Evaluation and Research. Clinical Pharmacology and Biopharmaceutics Review(s) Ledipasvir/Sofosbuvir. 2014. (14) MacBrayne CE, Marks KM, Fierer DS et al. J Antimicrob Chemother 2018; 73: 2112-9. (15) Brooks KM, Castillo-Mancilla JR, Blum J, et al. J Antimicrob

- Plasma TFV exposures were ~72-76% lower following TAF switch.
- TFV-DP in PBMC increased ~10-fold with TAF 25mg relative to TDF with boosted PIs. This increase is within the range of TFV-DP observed historically with higher TAF doses.
- Unlike TDF, adding LDV/SOF with TAF did not significantly increase plasma TAF/TFV or TFV-DP in PBMC, likely due to differences in hydrolysis pathways between these prodrugs.
- No significant changes in eGFR or UPCR occurred with TAF or TAF with LDV/SOF, but improvements in β2-microglobulin:Cr and RBP:Cr occurred following TAF switch.
- These findings reassure on the safety of TAF + b/PI + LDV/SOF in HIV/HCV-coinfected patients.

Conference on Retroviruses and Opportunistic Infections (CROI) 2020, Boston, MA (March 8-11th, 2020)

