EACS 2019 PE9/25

A standardized comparison of cardiovascular risk factor prevalence between People living with HIV and general population in Spain.

Authors: Vicente Estrada¹, Anna Camps², Silvia Pérez², Ramón Teira³, Pere Domingo⁴, Julián Olalla⁵, Gracia Mateo⁴, Paloma Geijo⁶, Marta Montero⁷, Maria José Galindo⁸, Iñigo Sagastagoitia¹, Jaume Marrugat², on behalf of the DARIOS and VACH Study Groups. **From**: (1) Hospital Clínico San Carlos, Madrid, Spain; (2) Instituto Hospital del Mar de Investigaciones Médicas (IMIM), Barcelona & IBERCV, Spain; (3) Hospital de Sierrallana, Torrelavega, Spain; (4) Hospital de la Santa Creu i Sant Pau, Barcelona, Spain; (5) Hospital Costa del Sol, Marbella, Spain; (6), Hospital Virgen de la Luz, Cuenca, Spain; (7) Hospital Universitario La Fe, Valencia, Spain; (8) Hospital Clínico Universitario de Valencia, Spain.

Purpose: We aim to compare the general Spanish population prevalence of CV risk factors with that of Spanish PLWH, after standardizing for referent age and sex population distributions. **Methods**: We used 5,680 PLWH from the HIV VACH Spanish cohort and the general population DARIOS cohort, a pooled analysis of 11 population surveys (n=28,342). The prevalence of diabetes, blood pressure, body mass index (BMI), total and high-density (HDL) cholesterol, and smoking was compared in the age group 35 to 74 years.

Results: The proportion of males was higher in HIV (46.5 vs. 80.4% [p<0.001]), and PLWH were younger (53.5 [11.1] vs 42.5[7.4]; p<0.001). Standardized BMI and lipid profile are significantly better in PLWH except low-HDL and high HDL/total cholesterol ratio and triglycerides which are significantly higher in PLWH.

Conclusions: After appropriate standardization to correct the effect of age and sex differences between PLWH and the general population, we found that the prevalence of traditional CV risk factors are similar. However, PLWH have a higher frequency of diabetes, lower HDL levels and higher levels of triglycerides in both sexes, more female smokers, and higher total cholesterol/HDL cholesterol ratio in males

7), Flospital Vilgeri de la Euz, Guerica, Spairi, (7) Flospital Offiversitatio La Fe, Valericia, Spairi, (6)				
MEN	General population	Male PLWH	Standardized	Interpretation
	DARIOS (N=13,193)	VACH (N=4,566)	comparison	
Body mass index	28.1 (28 - 28.1)	24.3 (24.2 - 24.5)	< 0.001	PLWH better
Diabetes	15.4% (14.8% - 16.0%)	21.1% (19.6% - 22.6%)	< 0.001	PLWH worst
Hypertension	29.0% (28.2% - 29.7%)	23.7% (22.2% - 25.2%)	< 0.001	PLWH better
Total cholesterol mg/dL	214.2 (213.6 - 214.9)	189.1 (187 - 191.1)	< 0.001	PLWH better
LDL cholesterol mg/dL	139 (138.4 - 139.6)	114 (112.2 - 115.8)	< 0.001	PLWH better
HDL cholesterol mg/dL	48.5 (48.3 - 48.6)	44.7 (44 - 45.4)	< 0.001	PLWH worst
Total cholesterol/HDL	4.6 (4.6-4.6)	4.6 (4.5 – 4.6)	0.331	Similar prevalence
Triglycerides mg/dL	141.1 (139.5 - 142.7)	172.3 (167.3 - 177.3)	< 0.001	PLWH worst
Smoking	33.6% (32.8% - 34.4%)	34.2% (32.7% - 35.7%)	0.488	Similar prevalence
WOMEN	General population	Female PLWH	Standardized	Interpretation
WOMEN	General population DARIOS (N=15,149)	Female PLWH VACH (N=1,114)	Standardized comparison	Interpretation
WOMEN Body mass index				Interpretation PLWH better
	DARIOS (N=15,149)	VACH (N=1,114)	comparison	
Body mass index	DARIOS (N=15,149) 27.5 (27.4 - 27.6)	VACH (N=1,114) 24.3 (23.9 - 24.8)	comparison <0.001	PLWH better
Body mass index Diabetes	DARIOS (N=15,149) 27.5 (27.4 - 27.6) 10.6% (10.1% - 11.1%)	VACH (N=1,114) 24.3 (23.9 - 24.8) 19.5% (16.4% - 22.7%)	<pre>comparison <0.001 <0.001</pre>	PLWH better PLWH worst
Body mass index Diabetes Hypertension	DARIOS (N=15,149) 27.5 (27.4 - 27.6) 10.6% (10.1% - 11.1%) 27.4% (26.7% - 28.0%)	VACH (N=1,114) 24.3 (23.9 - 24.8) 19.5% (16.4% - 22.7%) 25.9% (22.6% - 29.2%)	<0.001 <0.001 0.392	PLWH better PLWH worst Similar prevalence
Body mass index Diabetes Hypertension Total cholesterol mg/dL	DARIOS (N=15,149) 27.5 (27.4 - 27.6) 10.6% (10.1% - 11.1%) 27.4% (26.7% - 28.0%) 213.9 (213.3 - 214.5)	VACH (N=1,114) 24.3 (23.9 - 24.8) 19.5% (16.4% - 22.7%) 25.9% (22.6% - 29.2%) 198.1 (193.2 - 203)	<pre>comparison <0.001 <0.001 0.392 <0.001</pre>	PLWH better PLWH worst Similar prevalence PLWH better
Body mass index Diabetes Hypertension Total cholesterol mg/dL LDL cholesterol mg/dL	DARIOS (N=15,149) 27.5 (27.4 - 27.6) 10.6% (10.1% - 11.1%) 27.4% (26.7% - 28.0%) 213.9 (213.3 - 214.5) 135.8 (135.2 - 136.3)	VACH (N=1,114) 24.3 (23.9 - 24.8) 19.5% (16.4% - 22.7%) 25.9% (22.6% - 29.2%) 198.1 (193.2 - 203) 120.4 (116.3 - 124.5)	<pre><comparison 0.392="" <0.001="" <0.001<="" pre=""></comparison></pre>	PLWH better PLWH worst Similar prevalence PLWH better PLWH better
Body mass index Diabetes Hypertension Total cholesterol mg/dL LDL cholesterol mg/dL HDL cholesterol mg/dL	DARIOS (N=15,149) 27.5 (27.4 - 27.6) 10.6% (10.1% - 11.1%) 27.4% (26.7% - 28.0%) 213.9 (213.3 - 214.5) 135.8 (135.2 - 136.3) 57.2 (57 - 57.4)	VACH (N=1,114) 24.3 (23.9 - 24.8) 19.5% (16.4% - 22.7%) 25.9% (22.6% - 29.2%) 198.1 (193.2 - 203) 120.4 (116.3 - 124.5) 51.9 (50.4 - 53.3)	<pre>comparison <0.001 <0.001 0.392 <0.001 <0.001 <0.001</pre>	PLWH better PLWH worst Similar prevalence PLWH better PLWH better PLWH worst