

# **Decline in eGFR in HCV-Infected Patients While on Treatment With** Sofosbuvir/Ledipasvir or PrOD Regimens Is Not Dependent on Baseline eGFR

# Introduction

- Treatment with newer direct-acting antiviral (DAA) regimens against HCV demonstrates high SVR rates achieved in patients with advanced chronic kidney disease (CKD)
- There have been reports of newer DAA regimens being associated with increased and accelerated progression of kidney disease
- Renal elimination of some of these drugs may be a potential cause of toxicity, particularly among those with preexisting renal impairment
- However, progression of CKD among persons treated with DAA is still poorly understood

# Aims

• To determine the proportion of persons who have a decline in renal function while being treated with 2 commonly used DAA regimens, sofosbuvir/ledipasvir (SOF/LDV) and paritaprevir/ritonavir/ombitasvir + dasabuvir (PrOD)

# Methods

- We used the Electronically Retrieved Cohort of HCV Infected Veterans (ERCHIVES), a well-established national cohort of HCV-infected persons and age-, sex-, and racematched controls who received care within any of the Veterans Health Administration (VHA) healthcare facilities
- Demographic, clinical, laboratory, pharmacy, utilization, and vital status data are retrieved from VHA's Corporate Data Warehouse (CDW)
- Patients initiated on SOF/LDV or PrOD were included. We identified those with  $\geq 2 \text{ eGFR } 3$  months apart and prior to baseline and  $\geq 1$  eGFR value  $\geq 12$  weeks after baseline. eGFR was estimated using the CKD-EPI equation
- HIV- and hepatitis B surface antigen-positive persons were excluded
- CKD stages were classified from stage 1 through 5 using the National Kidney Foundation criteria
- We calculated the proportion of persons who had a decline in eGFR of 10 or 30 mL/min/1.73 m<sup>2</sup> from baseline
- Mean eGFR was plotted by regimen and use of ribavirin

M. Alkadi<sup>1,2</sup>; Y. Ren<sup>3</sup>; A.-B. Abou-Samra<sup>1,2</sup>; <u>A. Puenpatom<sup>4</sup></u>; J.M. Arduino<sup>4</sup>; R. Kumar<sup>4</sup>; A.A. Butt<sup>1,2,3</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Weill Cornell Medical College, New York, NY, USA; <sup>2</sup>Hamad Medical Corporation, Doha, Qatar; <sup>3</sup>VA Pittsburgh Healthcare System, Pittsburgh, PA, USA; <sup>4</sup>Merck & Co., Inc., Kenilworth, NJ, USA

## Results

| Table 1. Baseline characteristics                  |                             |                          |                 |                   |                       |                 |  |  |  |  |
|--|-----------------------------|--------------------------|-----------------|-------------------|-----------------------|-----------------|--|--|--|--|
| Characteristic                                     | SOF/LDV no RBV<br>N = 9,837 | SOF/LDV+RBV<br>N = 3,826 | <i>P</i> -value | PrOD<br>N = 1,017 | PrOD+RBV<br>N = 2,944 | <i>P</i> -value |  |  |  |  |
| Age, median (IQR)                                  | 62 (58, 65)                 | 62 (58, 65)              | 0.18            | 63 (59, 66)       | 62 (58, 65)           | <0.01           |  |  |  |  |
| Race/ethnicity, %                                  |                             |                          |                 |                   |                       |                 |  |  |  |  |
| White  | 45.7                        | 54                       | <0.01           | 37.8              | 47.1                  | <0.01           |  |  |  |  |
| Black  | 37.9                        | 26.7                     |                 | 44.1              | 33.1                  |                 |  |  |  |  |
| Hispanic   | 2.8                         | 4.8                      |                 | 3                 | 3.4                   |                 |  |  |  |  |
| Other  | 13.6                        | 14.5                     |                 | 15.1              | 16.4                  |                 |  |  |  |  |
| Sex (M), %   | 96.3                        | 97.5                     | <0.01           | 97.6              | 97.6                  | 0.97            |  |  |  |  |
| HCV RNA, log <sub>10</sub> , median (IQR)          | 6.00 (4.68, 6.51)           | 5.85 (2.71, 6.45)        | <0.01           | 6.01 (4.75, 6.51) | 6.05 (3.41, 6.58)     | 0.61            |  |  |  |  |
| Diabetes, %  | 32.9                        | 41.3                     | <0.01           | 31.4              | 30                    | 0.42            |  |  |  |  |
| Cardiovascular disease, %                          | 18.9                        | 16.2                     | <0.01           | 15                | 13.2                  | 0.14            |  |  |  |  |
| FIB-4 score, median (IQR)                          | 2.15 (1.50, 3.33)           | 3.32 (2.04, 6.00)        | <0.01           | 1.89 (1.44, 2.58) | 2.35 (1.61, 3.80)     | <0.01           |  |  |  |  |
| Cirrhosis (by FIB-4 >3.5), %                       | 28.5                        | 10.8                     | <0.01           | 47.3              | 22.9                  | <0.01           |  |  |  |  |
| eGFR, mL/min/1.73 m <sup>2</sup> ,<br>median (IQR) | 82.0 (67.4, 96.0)           | 84.0 (69.0, 99.0)        | <0.01           | 82.0 (67.0, 96.4) | 83.4 (71.0, 97.3)     | <0.01           |  |  |  |  |

## Figure 1. Study flow sheet



### Table 2. eGFR decline of >10 mL/min/1.73 m<sup>2</sup> by regimen and baseline eGFR

| Baseline<br>eGFR/CKD<br>Stage<br>(mL/min/<br>1.73 m <sup>2</sup> ) | Ν      | % V<br>>          | <i>P</i> -<br>value |                |              |      |
|--|--------|-------------------|---------------------|----------------|--------------|------|
|  |        | SOF/LDV<br>No RBV | SOF/LDV<br>+RBV     | PrOD<br>No RBV | PrOD<br>+RBV |      |
| eGFR <u>&gt;</u> 60  | 15,086 | 33.1              | 37.8                | 30.1           | 33.2         | 0.03 |
| CKD stage 3<br>(eGFR 30-59)  | 2,281  | 16.5              | 15.9                | 17.5           | 14.1         | 0.58 |
| CKD stages<br>4-5 (eGFR <30)                                       | 257    | 6.5               | 3.3                 | 1.8            | 0            | 0.03 |

### **Contact Information:** Adeel A. Butt Building 30, Mailstop 151; University Drive C, Pittsburgh, PA 15240 Email: aabutt@pitt.edu

# **Results (continued)**



# Conclusions

- Over one third of persons treated with SOF/LDV or **PrOD** regimens experienced a decline in eGFR of >10 mL/min (range from 37.8% (SOF/LDV+RBV) to 30.1% (PrOD, no RBV))
- For stage 4-5 CKD, higher incidence of eGFR decline was observed among patients treated with SOF/LDV (6.5%), followed by SOF/LDV+RBV (3.3%) and **PrOD (1.8%)**
- Whether these declines are permanent or of any clinical significance is not known
- Number of persons with baseline CKD stage 4-5 was small, and caution should be exerted in interpreting these data for this group

# References

- Flisiak R, Janczewska E, Wawrzynowicz-Syczewska M, et al. Real-world effectiveness and safety of ombitasvir/paritaprevil ritonavir ± dasabuvir ± ribavirin in hepatitis C: AMBER study. Aliment Pharmacol Ther. 2016;44(9):946-956.
- · Li T, Qu Y, Guo Y, Wang Y, Wang L. Efficacy and safety of direct-acting antivirals-based antiviral therapies for hepatitis C virus patients with stage 4-5 chronic kidney disease: a meta-analysis. Liver Int. 2016.
- Dumortier J, Bailly F, Pageaux GP, et al. Sofosbuvir-based antiviral therapy in hepatitis C virus patients with severe renal failure
- Pockros PJ, Reddy KR, Mantry PS, et al. Efficacy of direct-acting antiviral combination for patients with hepatitis C virus
- genotype 1 infection and severe renal impairment or end-stage renal disease. *Gastroenterology.* 2016;150(7):1590-1598. Butt AA, Ren Y, Marks K, Shaikh OS, Sherman KE; ERCHIVES study. Do directly acting antiviral agents for HCV increase the risk of hepatic decompensation and decline in renal function? Results from ERCHIVES. Aliment Pharmacol Ther.
- 2017:45(1):150-159. Saxena V, Koraishy FM, Sise ME, et al. Safety and efficacy of sofosbuvir-containing regimens in hepatitis C-infected patients with

impaired renal function. Liver Int. 2016;36(6):807-816.

This study was funded by Merck & Co., Inc., Kenilworth, NJ, USA

