Real-World HIV Renal Outcomes With TDF to TAF Switch

R. Rathbun¹, L. Skol¹, R. Kandilian¹, I.A. Liu², Z. Zhuang², S. Caparosa², R. Madievsky³, W. Towner², J. Shi², V. Guzman¹, L. Gordon³, M. Nashed³, D. Gharibian³, J. Stern²

¹Kaiser Permanente Southern California, San Diego, United States, ²Kaiser Permanente Southern California, Department of Research & Evaluation, Pasadena, United States, ³Kaiser Permanente Southern California, Los Angeles, United States

Conclusions

In this real-world study of PLWH who switched their ART from TDF to TAF based backbone while maintaining the same third agent, we observed an improvement in adjusted mean eGFR and eGFR slope calculations upon switch to TAF, with the largest change seen in those PLWH who had a lower baseline eGFR

Background

Tenofovir alafenamide (TAF) was introduced in 2016 to provide a potentially safer renal profile than tenofovir disoproxil fumarate (TDF) in people living with HIV (PLWH). We sought to evaluate changes in renal function in patients who changed from TDF to TAF within a large integrated healthcare system.

Aim

Evaluated eGFR (CKD-EPI) renal outcomes associated with TDF to TAF switch.

Methods

Overview:

- Retrospective study in PLWH, identified through the KPSC HIV registry, that switched TDF to TAF (01/01/2016 to 01/01/2019), while maintaining the
- same third agent Data were collected while participants were taking TDF
- and TAF, up to 18 months both before / after switch For eGFR slope calculations, eGFR data were collected in 6 month intervals with mean eGFR calculated when ≥ 1 eGFR were available during each time period

Inclusion Criteria:

- Age \geq 18 years at time of TDF to TAF switch \geq 6 months of therapy on each TDF and TAF regimen
- Participants have ≥ 2 eGFR's available, which are ≥ 6 months apart, during both the TDF and TAF 18 month review period
- Among the available TDF eGFR's, each participant had a baseline eGFR (\geq 1 eGFR) available within 6 months before TDF to TAF switch

Exclusion Criteria:

- Concurrent prescription with any other HIV medication outside of regimen switch of interest
- eGFR slope change of +/- 50 mL/min/1.73m² per year • ER / Hospitalization during study period with:
- Diagnosis of dehydration and/or sepsis

TDF to TAF Regimen Switch:

Covariates:

- Individual and clinical characteristics:
- Gender; Age at regimen switch; Race (African American / other); BMI (>30 mg/kg2) Baseline eGFR (<90 and \geq 90 mL/min/1.73m²)
- Cumulative known overall TDF exposure (years)
 Co-morbidities:
- HTN, DM2, CVD Concurrent Medications of Influence:
- ACE-I / ARB, NSAID's, Cotrimoxazole

Outcomes:

- Mean eGFR comparison (TAF TDF)
- Mean eGFR slope calculations (annual eGFR change) eGFR TDF Baseline to first available eGFR TAF
- · eGFR TDF Baseline to last available eGFR TAF

Statistical Analysis:

Multivariable linear regression or mixed model analysis was used to adjust for individual and clinical characteristics, comorbidities, concomitant medications and was stratified by baseline eGFR (≥90 and <90)

Limitations

 Determining the long-term clinical relevance of the improvements observed in eGFR outcomes with the real-world TDF to TAF switch is beyond the scope of this study and may be the focus of future studies.

Presented at AIDS 2022 - The 24th International AIDS Conference

Results

Demographics (Individual and Clinical Characteristics):							
Individual & Clinical Characteristics	Baseline eGFR ≥ 90 (N = 426)	Baseline eGFR < eGFR 60 to <90 (N = 524)		Total (N = 1037)			
Age at Conversion							
Mean Age (Years)	42.1	51.1	60.5	48.2			
Gender							
• Male	391 (91.8%)	469 (89.5%)	75 (86.2%)	935 (90.2%)			
Self-Reported Race/Ethnicity							
African American	72 (16.9%)	73 (13.9%)	10 (11.5%)	155 (15%)			
ВМІ							
 BMI ≥ 30 (kg/m2) 	104 (24.4%)	120 (22.9%)	17 (19.5%)	241 (23.2%)			
Co-Morbidities							
Hypertension	68 (16%)	143 (27.3%)	44 (50.6%)	255 (24.6%)			
 Diabetes Mellitus 2 	40 (9.4%)	47 (9%)	11 (12.6%)	98 (9.5%)			
Cardiovascular Disease	26 (6.1%)	63 (12%)	30 (34.5%)	119 (11.5%)			
Concurrent Medications of Influence							
ACE-I / ARB	57 (13.4%)	109 (20.8%)	29 (33.33%)	195 (18.8%)			
NSAID's	144 (33.8%)	166 (31.7%)	21 (24.1%)	331 (31.9%)			
Cotrimoxazole	46 (10.8%)	45 (8.6%)	7 (8.1%)	98 (9.5%)			
TDF and TAF Exposure During Study (Up to 18 Months Before / After Regimen Switch)							
 TDF – Mean Days (SD) 	512.8 (71.4)	521.7 (60.7)	527 (59.8)	518 (65.3)			
 TAF – Mean Days (SD) 	537.5 (39.9)	539.9 (35)	542.2 (24.2)	539.1 (36.3)			
Known Cumulative TDF Exposure (All TDF Exposure Before Regimen Switch)							
 TDF – Mean Days (SD) 	1,443 (1,089.6)	1915.1 (1346.5)	2140.8 (1,281.9)	1740.1 (1,266.5)			
Baseline Mean eGFR (mL/min/1.73m2) - Within 6 months before TDF to TAF Switch							
 eGFR – Mean (SD) 	103.2 (9.8)	76.7 (8.4)	53.6 (6)	85.7 (18.2)			
TAF Conversion Group							
• RPV	173 (40.6%)	208 (39.7%)	31 (35.6%)	412 (39.7%)			
• EVG/c	184 (43.2%)	193 (36.8%)	27 (31%)	404 (39%)			
DTG or RAL	29 (6.8%)	57 (10.9%)	18 (20.7%)	104 (10%)			
NVP or ETR	20 (4.7%)	41 (7.8%)	6 (6.9%)	67 (6.5%)			
 DRV/(c or RTV) 	20 (4.7%)	25 (4.8%)	5 (5.8%)	50 (4.8%)			

Adjusted¹ eGFR TAF Slope Calculations:

Individuals with baseline control 50 had a significant improvement in calculated control sope after 151 to 1AI switch							
eGFR TDF Baseline ²	N	eGFR TAF Slope ³ (TAF First Available)	p-Value	95% CI	Mean (SD) Days⁵		
≥ 90	426	+0.54	0.820	(-4.2, 5.2)	270.2 (79.3)		
< 90	611	+6.51	<0.001	(3.1, 11)	268.6 (75.3)		
Entire Cohort	1037	+3.57	<0.01	(0.9, 6.3)	269.3 (76.9)		
eGFR TDF Baseline ²	N	eGFR TAF Slope ⁴ (TAF Last Available)	p-Value	95% CI	Mean (SD) Days⁵		
eGFR TDF Baseline ² ≥ 90	N 426		p-Value 0.520	95% CI (-3.1, 1.6)	Mean (SD) Days ⁵ 517.9 (77)		
		(TAF Last Available)	•				

1) eGFR TAT slope calculations were adjusted for individual and clinical characteristics, comorbidities, concomitant medications and were stratified by baseline eGFR (>90 and <90). 2) eGFR (mL/min/1.73m2). 3) Annualized eGFR slope calculation is between the mean baseline eGFR before TAF switch and the first available mean TAF eGFR after switch. 4) Annualized eGFR slope calculation is between the mean baseline eGFR before TAF switch and the first available mean TAF eGFR after switch. 5) Mean days between eGFR TDF Baseline and eGFR TAF.

Adjusted ¹ Mean eGF	R Difference:
There was an overall improvement in mean eGFR after switch to	TAF, particularly in Pl

<0.001 (78.2, 80.2) (72.8, 74.5)

< 0.001 (4.8, 6.3)

ement in mean eGFR after switch to TAF, particularly in PLWH with lower baseline eGFR							
p-Value	95% CI		Regimen	Mean eGFR	p-Value	95% CI	
); n = 1,037			Mean eGFR (Baseline eGFR ≥ 60 to < 90); n = 524				
<0.001	(87.5, 89.2)		TAF	82.6	< 0.001	(81.5, 83.7)	
<0.001	(84.8, 86.3)		TDF	77.4	< 0.001	(76.4, 78.4)	
< 0.001	(2.2, 3.4)		TAF - TDF	5.2	< 0.001	(4.4, 6)	
R ≥ 90); n = 426			Mean eGFR (Baseline eGFR < 60); n = 87				
<0.001	(101, 103.9)		TAF	60.5	< 0.001	(58.5, 62.4)	
<0.001	(101.7, 104.4)		TDF	53	< 0.001	(51.7, 54.3)	
0.202	(-1.5, 0.3)		TAF - TDF	7.5	< 0.001	(5.6, 9.3)	
1) Mean eGFR Difference was adjusted for individual and clinical							

characteristics, comorbidities, concomitant medications and were stratified by baseline eGFR ($\geq\!90$ and $<\!90).$

Abbreviations:

TAF

TDF

TAF - TDF

TAF

TDF

TAE - TDE

TAF

TDF

TAE - TDE

Regimen Mean eGFR p-Value

Mean eGFR (Entire Cohort); n = 1,037

88.4

85.6

2.8

102.45

103.03

-0.58

79.2

73.7

5.5

Mean eGFR (Baseline eGFR ≥ 90); n = 426

Mean eGFR (Baseline eGFR < 90); n = 611

< 0.001



